Best Available Copy

Rec'd PCT/PTO 24 OCT 2008 PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

10/554939

From the		_	•	
NTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING	AUTHO	RIT	١

REC'D 2 0 JUL 2004

To:			

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1)

Da	ite of	ma	iling	
		_	-	

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/GB2004/001889

30.04.2004

30.04.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B25B23/04, B25B23/06, B25B23/10

Applicant

BLACK & DECKER INC

- 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 - Box No. I Basis of the opinion
 - ☑ Box No. II Priority
 - Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
 - ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited

- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u></u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Majerus, H

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2381



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001889

	Box	No.	I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the la	reg	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	Į.	ang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With nece	reg ssa	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	oe o	of material:
] ;	a sequence listing
] 1	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	rma	at of material:
]	in written format
]	in computer readable form
	c. tin	ne d	of filing/furnishing:
)	contained in the international application as filed.
]	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.

Best Available Copy

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001889

Вс	x No. II	Priority
1. 🛛	The fo	llowing document has not been furnished:
	⊠	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Conse nevert	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has heless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. 🛘	has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim sen found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3 7	ا مسائلالمال	observations if necessary

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001889

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 16				
bec	ause:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
☒	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 16				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
,			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls .		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001889

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-15, 17

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-15, 17

No:

Claims

Yes: Claims

1-15, 17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001889

The application does not fulfill the requirements of Article 6 PCT since claims 14 and 16 are not clear. The reasons being the following:

Claim 14: This claim is not clear, probably due to a clerical error (Article 6). For allowing a reasonable search it has been supposed that it should read " A screw feeder according to any preceding claims wherein screws within the magazine are not coupled to one another."

Claim 16: This claim does not contain any technical features, thereby rendering the subject matter of the claim undefined (Article 6). Furthermore the claim tries to define the subject matter by reference to the description (Article 6 PCT; Rule 6.2 (a))

The document US5584221 (D1) is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject matter of claim 1.

The screw feeder of D1 differs from the screw feeder of claim 1 in that the holding portion of D1 does not have at least one jaw pivotable about a longitudinal axis, the central slot of the magazine being aligned with this longitudinal axis of the pivotable at least one jaw.

The problem to be solved by the screw feeder according to claim 1 is to reduce the risk of the screw feeder getting jammed by misfed screws.

This problem is solved by the special technical features that the screw feeder according to claim 1 has a holding portion with at least one jaw pivotable about a longitudinal axis, the central slot of the magazine being aligned with this longitudinal axis of the pivotable at least one jaw.

This solution is neither known nor rendered obvious by the prior art. Claim 1 is therefore new and inventive. (Article 33 PCT)

Claims 2-15 and 17 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step. (Article 33 PCT)